

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,267	03/31/2004	Jos Bastiaens	08CN8851-6	7478
	90 12/01/2004		EXAM	INER
CANTOR COLBURN, LLP 55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002			FOELAK, I	MORTON
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1711	

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/815,267	BASTIAENS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Morton Foelak	1711
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a con. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC at a consequence of the period will expire SIX (6) MC at a consequence of the period will expire SIX (6) MC at a consequence of the period will expire SIX (6) MC and the period will expire S	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ARANDONED (33 U.S.C. & 133)
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for a	llowance except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice ur	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-52</u> is/are pending in the applic	ation	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Z S. S. Sonoidordiori,	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-52</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection t		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		1
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:	reign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 Certified copies of the priority docur 	ments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received in A	Application No
Copies of the certified copies of the		n received in this National Stage
application from the International Bu		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies not	t received.
.ttachment(s)		
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)
) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	B) Paper No((s)/Mail Date
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date	B/08) 5)	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademark Office	رة	*
OL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Offi	ce Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 1104

Application/Control Number: 10/815,267

Art Unit: 1711

Double Patenting

1. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer cannot overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101. 205 This is a double patenting rejection.

2. Claims 1-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-27 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,583,The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Page 2

Art Unit: 1711

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 3. Claims 1-53 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-27 of U. S. Patent No. 6,583,205 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.
- 4. The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows:

 The instant claims read on the claims of the patent. While the claims of the patent are drawn to product by process claims they are still deemed to be product claims. In addition claims 18-21, drawn to method claims would read on the instant claims as being the only method to produce claims 1-53.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which

Application/Control Number: 10/815,267

Art Unit: 1711

matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Allen et al 4,857,390, alone or taken with Taubitz et al or Axelrod et al, all of record.

Allen et al teach in col. 5 lines 22-31 that non-halogenated flame retardants, such as organophosphates, can be used in making flame-proofed foamed polyphenylene oxide and styrene polymer blends. In addition note claims 19 thru 22 of the patent. The secondary teaching references all disclose that it old in the art to flame retard blends of PPO/polystyrene with non-halgenated flame retardants

Art Unit: 1711

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Morton Foelak whose telephone number is (571) 272-1071. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Morton Foelak